Case 1:14-cv-00905-VSB Document 46 Filed 06/04/14 Page 1 of 1

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

ONE LIBERTY PLAZA

NEW YORK, NY 10006-1470

(212) 225-2000

FACSIMILE (212) 225-3999

WWW.CLEARYGOTTLIEB.COM

WASHINGTON, DC • PARIS • BRUSSELS • LONDON • MOSCOW FRANKFURT • COLOGNE • ROME • MILAN • HONG KONG BEIJING • BUENOS AIRES • SÃO PAULO • ABU DHABI • SEOUL

> Writer's Direct Dial: +1 (212) 225-2790 E-Mail: ldassin@cgsh.com

LAURENT ALPERTY
LESUE N. SELVERMAN
ROBERT L. TORTORIELLO
LEE C. BUCHHEIT
JAMES M. FEASLEE
ALAN L. BELLER
RY
JONATHAN I. BLACKMAN
MICHAEL L. RYAN
ROBERT P. DAVIS
YARON Z. RELICE
RICHARD E. LUCEGE
RICHARD S. LUCEGE
RICHARD S. LUCGER
STEVEN B. HOROWITZ
JAMES A. DUNCAN
STEVEN M. LOEB
DONALD A. STEWN
MITCHELL A. LOWENTHAI
EDWARD J. ROSEN
WILLIAM A. GROLLER
WILLIAM A. GROLLER
WILLIAM A. GROLLER
WILLIAM A. GROLLER
RICHARD J. COOPER
JEFFREY S. LEWIS
FILIP MOERMAN
FILIP MOERMAN
FILIP MOERMAN
FAUL J. SHILLIAM
FILIP MOERMAN
FILIP MOERMAN
FAUL J. SHILLIAM
FILIP MOERMAN
FAUL J. SHILLIAM
FILIP MOERMAN
FAUL J. SHILLIAM
FILIP MOERMAN
FILI

DAVID C. LOPEZ
CARMEN A CARMEN A CARAMEN A CAR

SANDRA M. ROCKE

SANDRA M. ROCKE
S DOUGLAS BORISKY
JUDITH KASSEL
DAVID E. WEBB
FENELOPE L. CHRISTOPHORO
BOAZ S. MORAGK
DAVID H. HERRINGTON
HEIDE H. LIGENFRITZ
HUGH C. CONROY, JR.
KATHLEEN M. EMBERGER
M. JMCSEL
M. JMC

June 4, 2014

VIA ECF

Hon. Vernon S. Broderick, U.S.D.J. Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse 40 Foley Square, Room 415 New York, NY 10007

Re: In re Keurig Green Mountain Single-Serve Coffee Antitrust Litig., MDL No. 2542

Dear Judge Broderick:

I write on behalf of Defendant Keurig Green Mountain to request a status conference in the above-referenced action. We believe that a conference involving all parties would be useful in organizing an efficient schedule for the conduct of this litigation.

Such a schedule could include a deadline by which the direct and indirect purchaser plaintiff groups are to file their consolidated amended complaints, a briefing schedule for Defendant's motions to dismiss the likely four operative complaints as initially discussed in Keurig's letter of March 10, 2014, ECF No. 16, and a briefing schedule for motions related to discovery, including the motion for expedited discovery suggested by TreeHouse in its letter of June 3, ECF No. 44.

Such a conference could also cover the June 3 request from TreeHouse for a Rule 26(f) discovery conference. In brief, in Keurig's view a Rule 26(f) conference would not be appropriate at this time. All of the Related Actions have not yet been transferred to this district, no consolidated purported class action complaints have been filed, and Defendant has not yet moved to dismiss.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lev L. Dassin

Lev L. Dassin

cc: All counsel of record